

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,296		01/27/2004	· Soo Sik Yoon	NEIT-P0020	9295	
27268	7590	09/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER &		LS LLP DIAN STREET	KORNAKOV	KORNAKOV, MICHAIL		
SUITE 270		MAN SIKEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204				1746		
			•	DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			41
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/765,296	YOON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Kornakov	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. Ty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed i	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat		ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-13 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9 and 11-13 is/are rejected for claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doces. 2. Certified copies of the priority doces. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International. * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/765,296 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' amendment dated 07/08/2005 is noticed. Claims 1,8,13 are amended. Claims 3 and 10 are cancelled. Claims 1,2,4-9, 11-13 are currently pending and examined on the merits.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 6 and 7 depend on claim 1 and recite the limitation "the chamber". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1,2,4,5,7-9,11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seamons et al (U.S. 6,060,397).

Seamons teaches a method of plasma cleaning a chamber for manufacturing semiconductor devices, wherein cleaning includes removing silicon containing residues. The method of Seamons comprises providing cleaning gas mixture, including fluorocarbon gas (such as CF_4 , C_2F_6 or C_3F_8), oxygen gas and N_2O gas with the flow rates corresponding to the instantly claimed values, activating cleaning gases by high

Art Unit: 1746

frequency power within the chamber, thus mixing the activated gas species, and removing residues from the chamber as volatile products (paragraph, bridging col.2 and 3; col.4, lines 30-67; col.5, lines 1-13; col.6, lines 9-20). Therefore, all the limitations of the instant claims are explicitly or inherently present in the teaching of Seamons.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seamons et al (U.S. 6,060,397) in view of Henderson et al (U.S. 2004/0011385).

While teaching a method of plasma cleaning a chamber and utilizing the steps identical to those instantly claimed, Seamons remains silent about activating the gaseous mixture in a plasma generator outside the chamber.

Henderson teaches similar method of plasma cleaning a chamber for manufacturing semiconductor devices and indicates that the cleaning can be performed by igniting the plasma in the chamber (in situ) or by using a smaller reactor remote to the chamber to create reactive plasma species, followed by introducing these species into the chamber and converting the residues into volatile compounds that are evacuated from the chamber [0023]. Thus, Henderson recognizes equivalency between in-situ and remotely activating gaseous mixtures while cleaning the chamber. However, substitution of equivalent methods requires no express motivation, as long as the prior art recognizes equivalency, *In re Fount* 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); *In re Siebentritt* 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967); *Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. Inc. V. Linde Air products Co.* 85 USPQ 328 (USSC 1950).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,296 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,296

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. KOEVAKOI

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746 Page 5

09/08/2005